

## Numerical Analysis – Lecture 10

### 7.3 The three-term recurrence relation

How to construct orthogonal polynomials? (7.1) might help, but it suffers from the same problem as the Gram–Schmidt algorithm in Euclidean spaces: loss of accuracy due to ill-conditioning. A considerably better procedure follows from our next theorem.

**Theorem** Monic orthogonal polynomials are given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} p_{-1}(x) &\equiv 0, & p_0(x) &\equiv 1, \\ p_{n+1}(x) &= (x - \alpha_n)p_n(x) - \beta_n p_{n-1}(x), & n &= 0, 1, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

where

$$\alpha_n := \frac{\langle p_n, xp_n \rangle}{\langle p_n, p_n \rangle}, \quad \beta_n = \frac{\langle p_n, p_n \rangle}{\langle p_{n-1}, p_{n-1} \rangle} > 0.$$

**Proof.** Pick  $n \geq 0$  and let

$$\psi(x) := p_{n+1}(x) - (x - \alpha_n)p_n(x) + \beta_n p_{n-1}(x).$$

Since  $p_n$  and  $p_{n+1}$  are monic, it follows that  $\psi \in \mathbb{P}_n[x]$ . Moreover,

$$\langle \psi, p_\ell \rangle = \langle p_{n+1}, p_\ell \rangle - \langle p_n, (x - \alpha_n)p_\ell \rangle + \beta_n \langle p_{n-1}, p_\ell \rangle = 0, \quad \ell = 0, 1, \dots, n-2.$$

Because of monicity,  $xp_{n-1} = p_n + q$ , where  $q \in \mathbb{P}_{n-1}[x]$ . Thus, from the definition of  $\alpha_n, \beta_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, p_{n-1} \rangle &= -\langle p_n, xp_{n-1} \rangle + \beta_n \langle p_{n-1}, p_{n-1} \rangle = -\langle p_n, p_n \rangle + \beta_n \langle p_{n-1}, p_{n-1} \rangle = 0, \\ \langle \psi, p_n \rangle &= -\langle xp_n, p_n \rangle + \alpha_n \langle p_n, p_n \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Every  $p \in \mathbb{P}_n[x]$  that obeys  $\langle p, p_\ell \rangle = 0$ ,  $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , must necessarily be the zero polynomial. For suppose that it is not so and let  $x^s$  be the highest coefficient of  $x$  in  $p$ . Then  $\langle p, p_s \rangle \neq 0$ . We deduce that  $\psi \equiv 0$ , hence (7.1) is true.  $\square$

**Example Chebyshev polynomials** We choose the scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{-1}^1 f(x)g(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}, \quad f, g \in C[-1, 1]$$

and define  $T_n \in \mathbb{P}_n[x]$  via the relation  $T_n(\cos\theta) = \cos n\theta$  – hence  $T_0(x) \equiv 1$ ,  $T_1(x) = x$ ,  $T_2(x) = 2x^2 - 1$  etc. Changing the integration variable,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_n, T_m \rangle &= \int_{-1}^1 T_n(x)T_m(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = \int_0^\pi \cos n\theta \cos m\theta \, d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi \{\cos(n+m)\theta + \cos(n-m)\theta\} \, d\theta = 0 \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $n \neq m$ . The recurrence relation for Chebyshev polynomials is

$$T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x),$$

as can be verified at once from the identity

$$\cos(n+1)\theta + \cos(n-1)\theta = 2\cos\theta \cos n\theta.$$

Note that the  $T_n$ s aren't monic, hence the inconsistency with (7.1).

## 7.4 Least-squares polynomial fitting

Given  $f \in C[a, b]$  and a scalar product  $\langle g, h \rangle = \int_a^b w(x)g(x)h(x) dx$ , we wish to pick  $p \in \mathbb{P}_n[x]$  so as to minimize  $\langle f - p, f - p \rangle$ . Again,  $w(x) > 0$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ . Intuitively speaking,  $p$  approximates  $f$  and is an alternative to an interpolating polynomial. (The situation is similar to the one that we have already encountered in numerical linear algebra.)

Let  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n$  be orthogonal polynomials w.r.t. the underlying inner product,  $p_\ell \in \mathbb{P}_\ell[x]$ . We can represent  $p = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k p_k$  for some  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , hence, by orthogonality,

$$\langle f - p, f - p \rangle = \left\langle f - \sum_{k=0}^n c_k p_k, f - \sum_{k=0}^n c_k p_k \right\rangle = \langle f, f \rangle - 2 \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \langle p_k, f \rangle + \sum_{k=0}^n c_k^2 \langle p_k, p_k \rangle.$$

To derive optimal  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n$  we seek to minimize the last expression. Since

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial c_k} \langle f - p, f - p \rangle = -\langle p_k, f \rangle + c_k \langle p_k, p_k \rangle, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

setting the gradient to zero yields

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\langle p_k, f \rangle}{\langle p_k, p_k \rangle} p_k(x). \quad (7.2)$$

Note that

$$\langle f - p, f - p \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle - \sum_{k=0}^n \{2c_k \langle p_k, f \rangle - c_k^2 \langle p_k, p_k \rangle\} = \langle f, f \rangle - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\langle p_k, f \rangle^2}{\langle p_k, p_k \rangle}. \quad (7.3)$$

**How to choose  $n$ ?** Note that  $c_k = \langle p_k, f \rangle / \langle p_k, p_k \rangle$  is independent of  $n$ . Thus, we can continue to add terms to (7.2) until  $\langle f - p, f - p \rangle$  is below specified *tolerance*  $\varepsilon$ . Because of (7.3), we need to pick  $n$  so that  $\langle f, f \rangle - \varepsilon < \sum_{k=0}^n \langle p_k, f \rangle^2 / \langle p_k, p_k \rangle$ .

**Theorem (The Parseval identity)** Let  $[a, b]$  be finite. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle p_k, f \rangle^2}{\langle p_k, p_k \rangle} = \langle f, f \rangle. \quad (7.4)$$

**Incomplete proof.** Let  $\sigma_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \langle p_k, f \rangle^2 / \langle p_k, p_k \rangle$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , hence  $\langle f - p, f - p \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle - \sigma_n \geq 0$ . The sequence  $\{\sigma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  increases monotonically and  $\sigma_n \leq \langle f, f \rangle$  implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n$  exists. According to the *Weierstrass theorem*, any function in  $C[a, b]$  can be approximated arbitrarily close by a polynomial, hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f - p, f - p \rangle = 0$  and we deduce that  $\sigma_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f, f \rangle$  and (7.4) is true.  $\square$